

Greater Pacific Northwest (USA) Mission Center
Proposed 2014 Congregational Allocations

GPNW Congregation	2014 Allocation	2013 Allocation	\$ Variance with 2013	% Variance with 2013
Albany	\$ 2,716	\$ 2,573	\$ 143	5.6%
Auburn	\$ 7,165	\$ 7,434	\$ (269)	-3.6%
Bend	\$ 3,584	\$ 3,258	\$ 326	10.0%
Bremerton	\$ 2,857	\$ 3,087	\$ (230)	-7.5%
Cottage Grove	\$ 1,818	\$ 1,653	\$ 165	10.0%
Cowlitz Valley	\$ 3,585	\$ 3,259	\$ 326	10.0%
Crystal Springs	\$ 6,040	\$ 6,040	\$ -	0.0%
East Wenatchee	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,000	\$ 100	10.0%
Ellensburg	\$ 1,826	\$ 1,675	\$ 151	9.0%
Eugene	\$ 7,862	\$ 7,147	\$ 715	10.0%
Fairbanks	\$ 2,374	\$ 2,374	\$ -	0.0%
Garden Grove	\$ 4,261	\$ 4,231	\$ 30	0.7%
Highland Park	\$ 2,529	\$ 2,740	\$ (211)	-7.7%
Klamath Falls	\$ 901	\$ 1,001	\$ (100)	-10.0%
Mat-Su Valley	\$ 1,132	\$ 1,258	\$ (126)	-10.0%
Myrtle Point	\$ 1,549	\$ 1,408	\$ 141	10.0%
Neilton	\$ 707	\$ 643	\$ 64	10.0%
Olympia	\$ 1,685	\$ 1,532	\$ 153	10.0%
Portland	\$ 6,918	\$ 6,918	\$ -	0.0%
Puyallup	\$ 5,245	\$ 4,826	\$ 419	8.7%
Rainier Valley	\$ 2,768	\$ 2,516	\$ 252	10.0%
Redmond	\$ 4,277	\$ 3,888	\$ 389	10.0%
Renton	\$ 7,257	\$ 6,803	\$ 454	6.7%
Rogue Valley	\$ 4,532	\$ 5,035	\$ (503)	-10.0%
Roseburg	\$ 1,171	\$ 1,065	\$ 106	10.0%
Salem	\$ 5,594	\$ 6,216	\$ (622)	-10.0%
Samish	\$ 5,031	\$ 5,031	\$ -	0.0%
Selah	\$ 1,218	\$ 1,213	\$ 5	0.4%
Southridge	\$ 8,484	\$ 7,713	\$ 771	10.0%
Tuality CC	\$ 5,787	\$ 6,430	\$ (643)	-10.0%
University Place	\$ 5,338	\$ 5,145	\$ 193	3.8%
Woodburn	\$ 3,325	\$ 3,207	\$ 118	3.7%
Woodland Park	\$ 4,717	\$ 4,288	\$ 429	10.0%
Yakima	\$ 1,967	\$ 2,001	\$ (34)	-1.7%
TOTAL	\$127,320	\$124,608	\$2,712	2.2%

Understanding Your Congregation's 2014 Allocation for GPNW Mission Center

Questions? Contact MCFO Bill McFarlin, wmcfarlin@cofchrist-gpnw.org or (360) 574-2758.

The allocation computation uses two primary factors:

- 1) Total Congregational Ministries contributions (purpose code 100)
- 2) Total number of Contributor Units for congregational contributions. A single person or a married couple counts as 1 unit.

- Congregations that receive the most contributions and have the most contributors will have the highest allocation.

- The allocation formula is designed to help determine a

congregation's ability to pay and is a reflection of their collective generosity.

- There is no perfect formula; however, we continue to strive for an equitable approach.

The criteria and rationale for the formula include:

- The data used to compute the allocations is from the church's computerized database, Shelby.
- The allocations are based only on the immediate past year's contributions—because it is a more current reflection of the congregation's contributor composition.

- It does not drag multiple years of historical data forward—because previous formulae included “stale” data and did not reduce fluctuations in allocations between years as much as hoped.
- Half of the allocation is based only on Congregational Ministries (purpose code 100) contributions, not other local or Mission Center or World Church contributions—because

congregational contributions more accurately reflect the giving strength locally.

- The other half of the allocation is based on the

number of congregational contributors who contribute more than \$100 during the year.

- For congregations with a Houses of Worship Revolving Fund loan (HWRP), the loan payments for the year are subtracted from the contributions to Congregational Ministries used to compute the first half of the allocation— this supports congregations that are attempting to retire congregational debt.
- A “ceiling” and “floor” of 10% (more or less than the previous year's allocation) is included in the process—because this is a way to directly limit fluctuations year to year and makes budgeting for congregations more predictable.

Total proposed allocations for 2014:.... \$127,320
Total 2012 contributions to Congregational Ministries: \$592,235
Total number of 2012 local contributors: 503

Hypothetical Example Using Congregation X:

To keep it simple, let's say total annual GPNW congregational allocations are \$100,000, total GPNW member contributions were \$1,000,000, and total number of GPNW contributors were 1,000.

- The first half of the total GPNW combined congregational allocation is based on Congregational Ministries contributions (Purpose code 100) during 2012 (most recent completed year) —\$50,000 (1/2 of \$100,000).
- Congregation X had annual local contributions of \$80,000, which is 8% of the total GPNW member contributions (\$80,000/\$1,000,000).
- Congregation X's part of this half of the allocation would be 8% of \$50,000, or \$4,000.
- The second half of the total allocation (\$50,000 (1/2 of \$100,000) is based on the number of congregational contributors to Congregational Ministries during 2012.
- Congregation X had 24 contributors, which is 2.4% of the total GPNW contributors (24/1,000).
- Their part of this half of the allocation would be 2.4% of \$50,000, or \$1,200.
- Congregation X's total allocation for 2014 would then be \$4,000 + \$1,200, or \$5,200.

Final Considerations:

- 1) If Congregation X's computed allocation for 2014 is more than 10% higher than their 2013 allocation, their 2014 allocation will be limited to a 10% increase. If Congregation X's computed allocation for 2014 drops by more than 10% compared with their 2013 allocation, their 2014 allocation will be limited to a 10% decrease.
- 2) If Congregation X made \$2,000 in Houses of Worship Revolving Loan Fund loan repayments during 2012, their contributions would be adjusted for the allocation formula to \$78,000 from the original \$80,000.